

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

TOWSON UNIVERSITY
COLLEGE OF HEALTH PROFESSIONS
PROMOTION, TENURE, and REAPPOINTMENT (CHP PTR)
POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

Document Revision: October 17, 2024
Approved by CHP Faculty: November 18, 2024
Approved by University PTR: February 14, 2025

**TOWSON UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF HEALTH PROFESSIONS
PROMOTION, TENURE and REAPPOINTMENT(CHP PTR) POLICIES AND PROCEDURES**

Table of Contents

<u>Membership of the College Committee(s) for Promotion, and Tenure/Reappointment</u>	4-5
Composition of the Committee	4
Election of Committee Members, including Dates and Method of Vote	4
How Alternates are Chosen/Vacancies Filled	4
Eligibility and Term	4-5
<u>Policies and Procedures of the College PTR Committee</u>	5-10
Confidentiality	5
Definition of Quorum	5
Evaluation Portfolio Materials Required for Submission	5-7
Procedures for Deliberation of Evaluation Portfolio and College Standards	7-8
Voting Procedures	8
Reporting to Candidates	8
Role of Committee Chair	8
Review of College Document	8
Role of the Dean	9
Negative Recommendations: Rebuttals & Appeals	9-10
Procedures for Approval of New and/or Revised CHP PTR Document	10
<u>CHP Standards and Criteria For Evaluation of Teaching/Advising, Scholarship, and Service</u>	10-11
Teaching and Advising	11
Scholarship	11
Service	11
<u>CHP PTR Standards and Criteria For Tenure and/or Promotion to Associate Professor</u>	12
Standards and Criteria for Teaching and Advising for Tenure and/or Promotion to Associate Professor	12
Standards and Criteria on Scholarship for Tenure and/or Promotion to Associate Professor	12
Standards and Criteria on Service for Tenure and/or Promotion to Associate Professor	12
<u>CHP PTR Standards and Criteria for Promotion to Professor</u>	13-14
Standards and Criteria for Teaching and Advising for Promotion to Professor	13
Standards and Criteria on Scholarship for Promotion to Professor	13
Standards and Criteria on Service for Promotion to Professor	14
<u>Merit Reviews</u>	14
<u>Calendar</u>	14-17
<u>APPENDICES</u>	18-28
APPENDIX A: CHP Potential Evidence for Teaching/Advising, Scholarship and Service	18-19

1	APPENDIX B: External Review Guidelines	20-21
2	APPENDIX C: U N I V E R S I T Y F O R M S (ANNUAL REPORT & ANNUAL WORKLOAD PLAN)	22-25
3	APPENDIX D: DEPARTMENTAL SUMMARY FORM	26-27
4	APPENDIX E: VOTING RECORD	28

**TOWSON UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF HEALTH PROFESSIONS
PROMOTION, TENURE and REAPPOINTMENT (CHP PTR) POLICIES AND PROCEDURES**

In conformity with College Council Bylaws and the Towson University Faculty Handbook, the College of Health Professions Promotion, Tenure and Reappointment Committee (CHP-PTR) administers the systems of faculty evaluation by implementing the provisions set forth in the document “Appendix 3 to the Towson University Policy on Appointment, Rank and Tenure of Faculty”-

Please note chairperson as used in this document refers to department chair unless otherwise stated.

Information pertinent to any individual’s tenure and/or promotion and/or merit appeal recommendation will be held confidential by all committee members.

I. Membership of the College Committee for Promotion, Tenure and Reappointment:

A. Composition of the Committee

Membership shall be composed of one tenured and one clinical faculty (at the rank of Clinical Associate or higher) member elected by each department and one alternate tenured faculty member representing each department for a period of three years.

1. Clinical faculty attend College PTR meetings when their department has a clinical faculty undergoing review for promotion, review of clinical faculty documents, workshops and/or other relevant work at the request of the College PTR chair or Dean.
2. If no clinical faculty holds the rank of associate or higher, a clinical assistant professor having completed successfully a minimum of 3 years may be elected and serve.

B. Election of Committee Members, including Dates and Method of Vote

1. Eligible faculty may either be nominated or self-nominated to the chair of their department who then forwards the names to the Dean.
2. All departmental faculty may vote for their representatives and alternates to the college committee.
3. College wide elections are held the second Friday in April. The College electorate shall vote using confidential ballot.
4. Votes will be tallied by the last Friday in April, and the elected members shall be notified prior to the first Friday in May.
5. Members of the College PTR Committee are expected to serve as representatives of the University and not as delegates from a particular department.
6. Offices of the secretary and the chair of the committee are elected from the tenured committee members for one-year terms at the first meeting of the year.

C. How Alternates Are Chosen/Vacancies Filled

1. Alternates for each position are elected at the same time as representatives by their respective departments for three-year terms.
2. Alternatives serve only in the event of a special circumstance such as extended leave i.e. medical leave, sabbatical, or family leave.
3. Alternates must meet the same criteria as elected members.

D. Eligibility and Term

1. Eligible tenured members include tenured faculty at the rank of associate and full professor. Eligible

clinical members include clinical faculty at the rank of associate and full professor and having served on faculty a minimum of three years.

- a. During the year in which they are up for review, faculty who are candidates for promotion or tenure may not serve on the committee.
 - b. Department chairpersons may not serve on the committee.
 - c. No one may serve on the CHP PTR Committee who is at the same time a member of the University PTR Committee.
2. If a department does not have one (1) or more faculty members eligible to serve, an exception to the policy may be made and approved by the Dean and the University PTR Committee.
 3. Members of the committee shall serve for a period of three (3) years but no more than two (2) consecutive terms.
 - a. Terms will begin on June 1 of their first year and end on May 31 of their third year.
 - b. These three-year terms will be staggered to insure some consistency from year to year.Refer to Section XIII for calendar of important dates.

II. Policies and Procedures of the College PTR Committee

A. Confidentiality

1. Members of the committee will maintain strict confidentiality concerning its deliberations and recommendations at all points during and after the process, with the exception of the information provided to candidates or departments by the chairperson or the dean in performance of their duties under the ART policy.
2. All votes regarding tenure and/or promotion taken by the CHP PTR Committee shall be by confidential ballot, signed with the Towson University ID number, dated by the voting member, and tallied by the committee chair.
3. Departments may use other means of confidential voting and voting records, such as *Involved @ TU* so long as the ballot information and the balloting process follows that articulated in the Towson University Policy on Appointment, Rank and Tenure of Faculty. All ballots must collect the Faculty ID number. Any voting mechanism must be secure and allow for records retention in accordance with USM records retention policies. While it is NOT necessary to use the TU Ballot Summary, a paper copy of the electronic voting record, which includes a record of faculty ID numbers associated with each ballot, must be printed and kept on file per the ART policy.
4. The confidential ballots shall not be included in the faculty evaluation portfolio, but shall be forwarded under separate cover to the Provost, to be preserved with the tenure and promotion file until three (3) years following the faculty member's termination or resignation from the university.

B. Definition of Quorum

Quorum for the CHP PTR Committee consists of five members.

C. Evaluation Portfolio Materials Required for Submission

1. The responsibility for preparing, organizing, and submitting materials for evaluation rests with the faculty member.
2. Guided by the chairperson and the department, the college, and the university criteria, the faculty member shall be responsible for making distinctions among the various categories of teaching/advising, scholarship, and service and shall include such distinctions, as they deem appropriate in their narrative statements and other documentation relevant to each evaluation

portfolio section.

3. In order to ensure that all material and documentation used in making recommendations contain appropriate information, all documentation shall be submitted in the form of an evaluation portfolio as described in the TU ART and following departmental guidelines.
4. Evaluation portfolios shall be organized, indexed, and submitted as an electronic portfolio. Contents of the evaluation portfolio are determined by type of review.
5. Evaluation portfolio materials for annual review of all faculty must follow Departmental guidelines.
6. Portfolio materials for full review of faculty for promotion and/or tenure must include the following documents:
 - a. All required evaluation portfolio materials from the faculty member's date of hire or last promotion
A narrative statement in which the faculty member describes how they have met the standards and criteria for tenure and/or promotion. Faculty members shall describe their teaching/advising, scholarship, and service contributions relative to their workload agreements for the period under review. (See also: Section II.C.13.c.4)
 - b. The narrative statement shall be clearly written in a style that promotes an understanding of the significance of the faculty member's contributions to teaching/advising, scholarship, and service.
 - c. The narrative statement shall be no more than five, single spaced pages at no less than 11-point font.
7. If confidential external reviews are solicited pursuant to departmental or college promotion and tenure policies, they will remain confidential and will not be made available to the faculty member. These reviews will not be included in the faculty evaluation portfolio, but will be forwarded under separate cover to each subsequent level of review.
8. During the course of the evaluation process, the faculty member or their chairperson participating in the evaluation process may add to the evaluation portfolio information.
 - a. Added information must be related to work that was completed prior to June 2 that has only become available after the deadline stipulated in the TU ART. The added information shall relate specifically to the faculty member's performance as presented by either the faculty member in their evaluation portfolio or in the chairperson's evaluation of the faculty member's performance. (TU ART, Appendix 3, § I.B.4)
 - b. Information added by the faculty member to update the evaluation portfolio must be included by the third Friday in September.
9. The addition of said material and notification thereof shall not interfere with the time designated for review as described in the Towson University Annual Review, Reappointment, Third-Year Review, Promotion, Tenure, and Comprehensive Review Calendar (Section XIII). If the chairperson participating in the evaluation process includes information in the faculty member's evaluation portfolio, other than their evaluation, that specific information shall immediately be made known to the faculty member undergoing evaluation and before any evaluation at the next level of review takes place.
10. When materials are added to the portfolio, record of the faculty member's notification shall be tracked via the PTR document review transmittal form (see Section VII of TU ART document). A failure to notify faculty within five (5) business days will result in the material being removed from the evaluation portfolio.
11. Evaluators reviewing materials that have been added by the faculty member or administrators during the course of the review process shall note that they do so in their evaluation statements.

12. Copies of the chairperson's detailed report with recommendation are included in the evaluation portfolio as it proceeds through the process. The committee's written report with recommendation shall provide a detailed rationale for the recommendation, as well as the vote count.

13. In addition to the evaluation portfolio, faculty being reviewed for promotion, tenure and comprehensive review shall also prepare a summative portfolio for the Provost.

- a. This summative portfolio must be clearly labeled with the faculty member's name, department, and type of review.
- b. In each section of the portfolio, documents shall be presented from the most recent year evaluated to the time of last promotion or year of hire.
- c. The summative portfolio shall be compiled in an electronic portfolio, labeled and indexed as follows:

1) Section I

- i. Curriculum vitae
- ii. A copy of one recent peer-reviewed publication or description of a comparable creative activity.

2) Section II

University Forms (Appendix C): Completed and signed Annual Report (AR & AWP) or Chairperson's Annual Report (CAR & CAWP) Forms arranged from most recent to the time of last promotion or year of hire.

3) Section III

- i. Summary of student evaluations across the evaluation period.
 1. Faculty using the university evaluation forms should submit the summary of quantitative and qualitative results for each course received from the assessment office.
 2. Include a one-page overview of one's teaching evaluations across all courses.
- ii. For tenure, promotion, and comprehensive review, peer teaching evaluations shall be included.

4) Section IV

Supporting Statement: Summary statement describing: 1) correlation between expectations and accomplishments; 2) future goals; and 3) integrating accomplishments in the areas of teaching/advising, scholarship, and service. (See also: Section II.C.6.b-d)

5) Section V

- i. Recommendations (to be added by the appropriate party), including:
 1. Written recommendation of the Department rank committee and/or tenure committee, including the Departmental Summary Recommendation form
 2. Written recommendation of the academic chairperson
 3. Written recommendation of the College PTR Committee
 4. Written recommendation of the academic dean

D. Procedures for Deliberation of Evaluation Portfolio and College Standards

1. Once a Department has completed deliberations about a candidate and decided to recommend the candidate for promotion and/or tenure, the candidate's materials including the Evaluation Record regarding the candidate are forwarded by the Department PTRM Committee chairperson to the Dean's office in the specified format by the second Friday in November.
2. The College PTR Committee will review the promotion and tenure recommendations of the departments and the Evaluation Records for each candidate by comparing the candidate's documents to the University Standards and Expectations and the CHP standards and expectations

1 for teaching/advising, scholarship, and service (refer to section III of this document).

- 2 3. Recommendations made by the CHP PTR Committee are dependent on the standards and
- 3 expectations for promotion, tenure and reappointment developed by the CHP PTR
- 4 Committee in accordance with the TU ART Policy.
- 5 4. Negative recommendations regarding promotion and/or tenure shall be delivered in writing in
- 6 person or sent by File Delivery Service (FDS) to the faculty member's last known address by the
- 7 administrator at the appropriate level. The Dean has responsibility for conveyance of any
- 8 recommendation made at the college level. (See Section F).
- 9

10 **E. Voting Procedures**

- 11 1. After careful review, each committee member will vote. All recommendations made by the
- 12 committee must be made by a quorum of at least five members; the outcome will be decided by
- 13 the majority vote. In the case of a tie vote, the case will be reviewed again by the entire committee
- 14 and voted on a second time. If the vote remains deadlocked, a tie vote will be considered rejection
- 15 of a motion.
- 16 2. No committee member shall abstain from a vote for tenure or promotion unless the Provost
- 17 authorizes such abstention based on good cause, including an impermissible conflict of interest.
- 18 3. The committee chair shall forward a signed, dated report of the results of the vote and the
- 19 committee's recommendations to the next level of review.
- 20

21 **F. Reporting to Candidates**

- 22 1. The College PTR Committee shall prepare a concisely written but detailed statement supportive of
- 23 its recommendation, with reference to each category evaluated including teaching/advising,
- 24 scholarship, and University/civic/ professional service.
- 25 2. The statement with recommendation and Evaluation Record shall be added to the faculty member's
- 26 evaluation portfolio and submitted to the Dean by the first Friday in January.
- 27 3. The recommendations of the College PTR Committee and the Dean shall be conveyed in writing to
- 28 the faculty member by the third Friday in January. Negative recommendations shall be delivered in
- 29 writing in person by the Dean or sent by FDS , return-receipt-requested to the faculty member's last
- 30 known address, and post-marked no later than the date on which reports are to be distributed to
- 31 the faculty member according to the university PTR calendar.
- 32 4. A copy of the recommendation letter concerning promotion and/or tenure will be forwarded
- 33 to the Chair of the Department and the Department PTRM committee chair.
- 34 5. The candidate's summative portfolio is forwarded to the Provost by the Dean by the first Friday in
- 35 February.
- 36

37 **G. Role of Committee Chair**

38 The Chairperson of the College PTR Committee will submit an Annual Report to the Dean,
39 Chairperson, and Vice-Chairperson of the College Council. The report will include any policy and
40 procedure changes and a general summary of the work of the committee. The content and
41 deliberations of individual promotion and tenure matters and appeals will not be included in the
42 Annual Report.

43 **H. Review of College Document**

44 Beginning with the implementation of these revisions, the College shall review its PTR document
45 every three (3) years and submit evidence of such review to the Dean of the College and the
46 University PTR Committee.

1 **I. Role of the Dean**

2 The dean shall not serve as a member of the college PTR committee and may not be present
3 during committee deliberations unless invited by the committee chairperson to answer specific
4 questions.
5

6 **J. Negative Recommendations: Rebuttals & Appeals**

7
8 1. Negative Recommendations

9 Negative recommendations at any level regarding the annual review, merit, promotion, tenure,
10 reappointment and/or the comprehensive five-year review shall be delivered in writing in person or
11 sent via the secure FDS email system according to the due dates indicated in the PTRM calendar.
12 Reviewers are also responsible for placement of their recommendation in the candidate's portfolio.
13

14 2. Appeals and Rebuttals

15 a. All appeals and rebuttals shall be made in writing.

16 b. There are three (3) types of appeals/rebuttals.

17 i. To contest perceived errors in judgment by the department and/or college PTR committees,
18 the department chairperson, the dean, and/or the Provost with regard to evaluation of their
19 performance, the candidate may submit a written rebuttal. The President's decision is final
20 and is not subject to rebuttal.

21 (a) The rebuttal letter, including clarifying documentation, must be submitted within
22 fourteen (14) calendar days of receipt of the negative recommendation.

23 (b) Material not referenced in the original portfolio may be included in the rebuttal
24 only if they confute negative statements in the contested recommendation.

25 (c) The candidate's rebuttal letter shall be directed to the next review authority and
26 placed alongside the negative recommendation in the candidate's portfolio. The
27 next review level shall make no recommendation on the candidate's application
28 until receiving the rebuttal or until the allowed time has elapsed.

29 (d) The candidate's rebuttal shall become part of the candidate's portfolio for review by
30 each succeeding level of the review process.

31 ii. Procedural appeals relate to alleged errors in the procedures followed in the review,
32 recommendation and notification process, and shall follow the procedures below.

33 (a) Procedural appeals shall be made to the University PTR committee.

34 (b) The appeal must be in writing, clearly stating the alleged procedural error(s). The
35 appeal shall be accompanied by supporting documents and should be sent via the
36 secure FDS email or in person to the respective dean, Provost, or UPTR chair within
37 twenty-one (21) calendar days of having been notified of the negative
38 recommendation.

39 (c) Appeals of department recommendations shall be copied to the department chair,
40 the department PTR chair, the dean and the University PTR committee chair.
41 Appeals of college recommendations shall be copied to the college dean, the
42 college PTR committee, the department chair, and the University PTR committee
43 chair. Appeals of Provost recommendations shall be copied to the dean and
44 department chair.

- (d) Within fifteen (15) business days of receipt of a formal appeal with attached materials, the University PTR committee shall review the case and provide a written response. Copies of this response will be provided to all parties who were copied on the original appeal letter.
- (e) Recommendations of the University PTR committee may be appealed to the President whose decision shall be final. The chair of the University PTR committee will monitor the appeal process.

iii. Appeals alleging unlawful discrimination shall follow the specific procedures described in Towson University Policy 06-01.00 "Policy Prohibiting Discrimination."

- c. The President's decision on reappointment, tenure, promotion and comprehensive five-year review shall be final. The Provost's decision on merit shall be final.

K. Procedures for Approval of New and/or Revised CHP PTR Document

1. College PTR documents pertaining to standards, criteria, and/or expectations of evaluation shall be developed by the College PTR Committee.
2. The College PTR document must be distributed to all tenured and tenure-track faculty in the College for input at least ten (10) business days prior to the College PTR Committee vote on the documents. Final approval at the college level shall be by a simple majority vote of the tenured/tenure-track faculty of the College.
3. The College document shall be approved by the College Dean. The Dean shall be responsible for transmitting the document with any proposed changes to the University PTR Committee by the second Friday in October.
4. College PTR documents must be approved by the University PTR Committee. The College PTR Committee must formally respond to changes and/or recommendations resulting from the review by the University PTR Committee and submit a clean copy by the due date specified by the University PTR Committee.
5. Once the University PTR Committee has approved the College PTR document, it will forward a copy of the approved document to the Dean of the College.
6. All policies at the college level shall remain in effect until changed according to the procedures described herein.
7. The Dean of each College shall be responsible for assuring that the approved College PTR documents are posted on the Towson University website.

III. CHP STANDARDS AND CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION OF TEACHING/ADVISING, SCHOLARSHIP, AND SERVICE

In accordance with the TU ART policy:

- A CHP faculty member shall fulfill their workload agreement in the areas of teaching/advising, scholarship, and service, shall be available for consultation and advising during office hours, and shall meet all classes as scheduled.
- A CHP faculty member shall be an effective teacher both in and out of the classroom.
- A CHP faculty member shall be committed to a discipline or interdisciplinary specialty and shall be committed to continuing professional development and demonstration of scholarly growth.
- A CHP faculty member shall be committed to collegiality and academic citizenship.
- Collegiality and academic citizenship refer to the role and responsibility of faculty in shared decision

making through open and fair processes devised to provide timely advice and recommendations on matters that relate to curriculum, academic personnel, and the educational functions of the institution. The demonstration of high standards of humane, ethical, and professional behavior is fundamental to collegiality and academic citizenship. These concepts include mutual respect for similarities and differences among participants on the basis of background, expertise, opinions, and assigned responsibilities. Collegiality does not imply agreement; vibrant university communities must include the capacity for respectful disagreement among faculty members and administrators.

- A CHP faculty member shall share the responsibility of university, college, and/or department governance.
- A CHP faculty member shall participate each year in the faculty evaluation process as described herein.

The overarching principles that guide the evaluation of teaching/advising, scholarship, and service in the College of Health Professions for annual review, comprehensive, review, promotion and tenure, and reappointment include the following:

A. Teaching/Advising

The CHP PTR Committee values a range of teaching and learning experiences for our students, which are consistent with the proportion of time allotted for service on the individual faculty member's workload agreement. The Committee acknowledges that student advising occurs in a variety of contexts including intentional advising, academic and professional guidance. (See Appendix A)

1. All courses taught by tenured and tenure-track faculty shall be included in their evaluation of teaching. This includes all on-load as defined by the Department, on-line, classroom, clinical/fieldwork and hybrid courses taught during the academic year.

B. Scholarship

The CHP PTR Committee values a wide range of scholarship activities, which are consistent with the proportion of time allotted for scholarship on the individual faculty member's workload agreement. The committee acknowledges that faculty engage in various forms of scholarship. (See Appendix A)

1. Each faculty member shall be reviewed in terms of continuing professional development and currency in their academic field as affirmed by a community of scholars.
2. The committee will consider the range of scholarship activities of the faculty member, which shall include evidence of substantive outcomes that are disseminated and validated.

C. Service

The CHP PTR Committee values a wide range of service contributions, which are consistent with the proportion of time allotted for service on the individual faculty member's workload agreement. (See Appendix A)

1. While evaluating service, the committee considers the extent and quality of the service contribution.
2. It is the responsibility of the faculty member to describe and explain the type of civic and/or professional service they may be performing outside the university and its relevance to the mission of the college and/or university, as applicable.

IV. CHP PTR STANDARDS AND CRITERIA FOR TENURE AND/OR PROMOTION TO ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

In accordance with the Towson University ART policy the rank of an associate professor is described as: “In addition to having the qualifications of an assistant professor, the appointee ordinarily shall have demonstrated excellence in teaching/advising and successful experience in research, scholarship, or where appropriate, creative performance, and be competent to offer graduate instruction and direct graduate research. The appointee shall have a minimum of six years of full-time university/college teaching/advising experience. Exceptions may be made for comparable professional activity or research. There shall also be evidence of relevant and effective service to the University, the community, and the profession.” (§ VI.B.3.a.iii).

CHP PTR Committee’s evaluation of a faculty candidate for Associate Professor will be in compliance with the university’s criteria for the Associate Professor’s rank as stated in the TU ART document.

Faculty are expected to meet their Department’s criteria and standards for tenure and/or promotion to Associate Professor.

A. Standards and Criteria for Teaching and Advising for Tenure and/or Promotion to Associate Professor

1. Demonstration of knowledge of the field(s) in which they are teaching, including current and emerging trends.
2. Demonstration of refinement, updating, and improvement of the courses that one teaches.
3. Demonstration of teaching excellence and student learning as evidenced by but not limited to peer and student evaluations and the faculty member’s teaching narrative.
4. Demonstration of growth and evolution that supports the teaching and learning process.
5. Demonstration of effective and successful participation where appropriate in course development, program development and/or assessment that is based on established scholarship, best practice, and/or sustained experience with practitioners in one’s field.
6. Demonstration of effective and successful participation in student advising.

B. Standards and Criteria for Scholarship for Tenure and/or Promotion to Associate Professor

1. Demonstration of the ability to initiate, implement, and complete scholarly work at Towson University in their area of specialty.
2. Demonstration of a clearly defined active and ongoing agenda that reflects one or more forms of scholarship. The candidate’s scholarship shall reflect evolving depth and breadth in agenda and focus.
3. Demonstration of tangible evidence of sustained scholarly activities with substantive outcomes. This evidence should include a number of peer reviewed publications and substantive scholarly activity (e.g., grants received, authorship of books or book chapters).

C. Standards and Criteria for Service for Tenure and/or Promotion to Associate Professor

1. A record of sustained involvement in shared governance related to committees and other activities at the Department, and College, and/or University Level.
2. A record of activities that extend beyond the routine expectations of all faculty members.

3. A record of contributions to a professional and/or community organization, and/or in a civic engagement activity in their area of professional expertise that go beyond simply being a member, and which advance the University's mission.

V. CHP PTR STANDARDS AND CRITERIA FOR PROMOTION TO PROFESSOR

In accordance with the TU ART policy, the rank of Professor is described as: "In addition to having the qualifications of an associate professor, the appointee ordinarily shall have established an outstanding record of teaching and research, scholarship, or where appropriate, creative performance, and, where appropriate to the mission of Towson University, a national reputation. The appointee shall have a minimum of ten years of full-time university/college teaching experience. Exceptions may be made for faculty who have attained national distinction for comparable professional activity or research. There shall be continuing evidence of relevant and effective service to the institution, the community, and the profession." (§ VI.B.3.a.iv).

CHP PTR Committee's evaluation of faculty candidates to Professor will be in compliance with the university ART description of the Professor's rank. CHP PTR Committee evaluation of a faculty candidate to Professor includes the candidate's department criteria for tenure and promotion.

A. Standards and Criteria for Teaching and Advising for Promotion to Professor

In addition to continuing to have met the teaching and advising standards since promotion to associate professor, the faculty member seeking promotion to professor will meet the following standards in teaching:

1. Demonstration of consistent excellence in teaching and advising
2. Demonstration of new teaching and/or advising challenges, which have resulted in successful outcomes
3. Demonstration of mentoring of colleagues in teaching and/or advising
4. Demonstration of leadership in an aspect of teaching and/or advising

B. Standards and Criteria for Scholarship for Promotion to Professor

In addition to continuing to meet the scholarship standards since promotion to associate professor the faculty member seeking promotion to full professor will meet the following standards:

1. Demonstration of a clear focus in scholarly activities
2. A record of sustained scholarship that has had a substantial impact on their field of study or related to a professional issue/area
3. Evidence of national reputation, which may take the form of peer-reviewed publications and presentations; substantive funded grants; books; leadership in setting accreditation standards for academic programs; invitations to be a reviewer for national/international journals in the field; and/or other forms of scholarship with a major impact. This scholarship could be within the faculty member's area of expertise or could be interdisciplinary.
4. Demonstration of mentoring of colleagues in their scholarship activities.
5. Letters of evaluation from external reviewers, which will be solicited from outside the University pursuant to the Guidelines approved by the Academic Senate (See Appendix B).

C. Standards and Criteria for Service for Promotion to Professor

In addition to continuing to meet the service standards since promotion to Associate Professor the faculty member seeking promotion to full professor will meet the following standards:

1. Demonstration of a sustained record of service at the department level and at the college or university level since their promotion to associate professor.
2. Substantive leadership in a role at the department level, the college or university level, as well as at the professional level or as part of civic engagement.
3. Demonstration of mentoring of colleagues in their service activities.

VI. Merit Reviews

Faculty members will be evaluated for Merit through a process separated from reappointment, third-year review, promotion and tenure, and five-year comprehensive review. For details see <https://www.towson.edu/provost/academicresources/meritpolicy.pdf>

VII. Calendar (See also: University Calendar from TU ART Document)

Second Friday in April

Election for a representative to the College PTR Committee and their alternate for the upcoming academic year will be conducted. These members will serve a three-year term.

First Friday in May

Department and College PTR Committees are formed (elections for membership on the College committee are already completed).

Third Friday in June

- All faculty members submit an evaluation portfolio to the Department Chairperson.
- Faculty submit a list of at least three (3) names of any additional faculty to be included on department tenure and/or promotion committee (if necessary) to the Department Chairperson and Dean.
- All faculty members with a negative comprehensive review must have final approval by Chairperson and Dean of the written professional development plan.

August 1 (USM Mandated)

Tenure-track faculty in the third or later academic year of service must be notified in writing of non-reappointment prior to the third or subsequent academic year of service if the faculty member's appointment ends after the third or subsequent academic year. To meet this deadline, a modified schedule may be required as provided in Section III.D.4.a of Appendix 3 of the ART policy.

First Friday in September

Department Chairperson approval of the list of additional faculty to be considered for inclusion in the Department Tenure and/or Promotion Committee.

Second Friday in September

University PTR Committee shall meet and elect a chair and notify the Senate Executive Committee's Member-at-large of the committee members and chairperson for the academic year.

Third Friday in September

- Faculty notify Department Chairperson by letter with copy to the Dean of intention to submit materials for promotion and/or tenure in the next academic year.
- College PTR Committee approval of faculty to be added to a department's PTRM committee (if necessary).
- Final date for faculty to add information to update their evaluation portfolio for work that was completed before June 1 unless the schedule for review is modified pursuant to Section III.D.4.a.
- First year faculty members must finalize the Statement of Standards and Expectations for New Tenure-Track Faculty (SENTF) with Department Chairperson.

Fourth Friday in September

Department Chairperson notifies department faculty, Dean, and Provost of any department faculty member's intention to be reviewed for promotion and/or tenure in the next academic year.

Second Friday in October

- Department PTRM Committee's reports with recommendations and vote count on all faculty members are submitted to the Department Chairperson.
- College PTR documents are due to the University PTR Committee if changes have been made.
- Department PTRM documents are delivered to the College PTR Committee if any changes have been made.

Fourth Friday in October

- Department Chairperson's written evaluation for faculty considered for reappointment in the second through fifth years, promotion, tenure, and comprehensive five-year review is added to the faculty member's evaluation portfolio and conveyed to the faculty member.
- The Department Chairperson will place their independent evaluation into the evaluation portfolio.
- The Department PTRM Committee's report with recommendations and vote count and the Department Chairperson's evaluation are distributed to the faculty member.

Second Friday in November

The faculty member's evaluation portfolio, inclusive of the Department PTRM Committee's written recommendation with record of the vote count, completed Department Summary Recommendation Form (Appendix D), Department Vote Record (Appendix E), and the written recommendation of the Department Chairperson, are forwarded by the Department PTRM Chairperson to the Dean's office.

November 30th

- All documentation to be used as part of the consideration process must be included in the evaluation portfolio.
- The Dean must notify the Provost in writing of reappointment/non-reappointment recommendation(s) for tenure-track faculty in their second or subsequent academic year of service.
- Negative recommendations shall be delivered in person by the Dean or sent by FDS to the faculty member's home.

December 15th (USM Mandated)

- Tenure-track faculty in the second academic year of service must be notified by the President in writing of non- reappointment for the next academic year.
- The College PTR Committee will conduct a review of promotion and tenure materials submitted to the College during and/or immediately following the final exam periods and hold possibly one meeting at

the beginning of January, if needed.

First Friday in January

The College PTR Committee reports with vote counts and recommendations for faculty reviewed for tenure and/or promotion are submitted to the Dean.

Third Friday in January

- The Dean's written evaluation regarding promotion and/or tenure with recommendation is added to the faculty member's evaluation portfolio.
- The College PTR Committee's report with vote counts and recommendations and the Dean's recommendation are conveyed in writing to the faculty member.
- All documentation for the third-year review of tenure-track faculty is submitted by the faculty member to the Department Chairperson.

First Friday in February

- The College Dean forwards the summative portfolio inclusive of the committee's and the Dean's recommendations of each faculty member with a recommendation concerning promotion and/or tenure or five-year comprehensive review to the Provost.
- The Dean forwards all recommendations regarding reappointment/non-reappointment to the Provost. If the Dean disagrees with the Department recommendation, the Dean shall prepare their own recommendation and send a copy to the faculty member and add this recommendation to the summative portfolio.
- [Negative recommendations for first year faculty are forwarded from the Chair to the Department PTRM Committee.](#)

Second Friday in February

- The Dean will, following their review, forward Department recommendations for faculty merit to the Provost. If the Dean disagrees with the Department recommendation, the Dean shall add their recommendation to the faculty member's evaluation portfolio and deliver the negative recommendation in person or by FDS to the faculty member's home.
- Department documents concerning promotion, tenure/reappointment, and merit (with an approval form signed by all current faculty members) are submitted to the University PTR Committee.

Third Friday in February

Chairperson and, as needed, Department PTRM Committee recommendations concerning reappointment and merit of first year faculty are due to the Dean.

Fourth Friday in February

Dean's recommendation for first-year faculty are forwarded to Provost.

March 1st

- Provost's letter of decision is conveyed to the faculty member, Department and College PTR Committee Chairpersons, Department Chairperson, and Dean of the College.
- Negative reappointment recommendations for first-year faculty are forwarded from the Provost to the President.

First Friday in March

1 Faculty under third-year review must be provided with written and face-to-face feedback on their
2 performance toward tenure.
3
4

5 **Fourth Friday in March**

6 Negative recommendations concerning reappointment and merit of first year faculty are delivered from the
7 Dean to the Provost's Office.
8

9 **April 15th**

10 First year faculty must be notified of non-reappointment by written notification from the University
11 President.

APPENDIX A: POTENTIAL EVIDENCE FOR TEACHING/ADVISING, SCHOLARSHIP AND SERVICE

	Potential Evidence
Teaching / Advising	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Statement of one's teaching/advising philosophy • Documents demonstrating significant changes to course syllabi over time • Copies of course and program proposals • Demonstration of participation in accreditation or program approval change • Evaluations of instruction by current students • Periodic analysis and interpretations of the student's evaluations • Peer observation by faculty • Evaluations obtained by means of focus groups • Standardized tests scores or pre/post test results • Refinement of teaching methods, materials, and strategies • External or internal curriculum and instructional development grants • Teaching awards and nominations • International teaching exchange, sabbatical, or consulting contracts • Articulation of mentoring relationships with junior faculty, clinicians, or other professional colleagues • Consultation regarding teaching within and beyond University • Advising evaluations • Effective guidance and advising that enables students to complete their research projects • Evidence of knowledge of emerging needs in one's field • Effective participation in course and program development • Demonstrated leadership in course and program development • Demonstrated leadership in accreditation and program approval • Participation on accreditation or program approval teams • Evidence of respecting diversity and inclusion at a variety of levels • Evidence of novel or exemplary teaching methods, materials, or strategies • Evidence of mentoring students and other faculty members
Scholarship	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Publications in peer reviewed journals • Publication of a book, book chapter, or monograph • Competitive internal and external grants as PI, Co-PI, Faculty Consultant, or Project Director attempted and received • Citation of others of one's scholarship • External evaluation and reviews of one's scholarly work • Invitations to review the research and scholarship of others • Presentations of one's scholarship • Reports of scholarship or projects in progress

Service	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Active involvement in faculty governance at the department, college or university level • Leadership positions in the department, college or university • Leadership or advocacy in student activities, organizations and programs • Advocacy in addressing important issues or needs • Provision of in-service education or technical assistance • Professional consultations • Membership in professional organizations at the national, regional, and state levels • Committee membership in professional organizations • Leadership in professional organizations and associations • Service to licensure, certification or accreditation boards
----------------	---

Appendix B: Guidelines for External Peer Review

https://www.towson.edu/provost/academicresources/documents/externalevaluationguidelineswithletter_finaldraft.pdf

EXTERNAL EVALUATION GUIDELINES

Chapter 3 §I.B.3.f provides that departmental and college promotion and tenure policies may include an option for external reviews as part of the evaluation process for promotion and tenure. Departments and colleges are encouraged to solicit such external reviews and are directed to incorporate these guidelines into their promotion and tenure policies should external reviews be made part of the evaluation process.

I. CONFIDENTIALITY

- External reviews will not be made available to the faculty member being reviewed (“Candidate”) and will not be included in the Candidate’s faculty evaluation portfolio.
- External reviews will be forwarded to each level of review under separate cover.

II. IDENTIFYING EXTERNAL EVALUATORS

- Evaluators will be independent and impartial. Evaluators cannot be members of Towson University faculty nor can they be current or former advisors or mentors to the Candidate, or otherwise have (or have had) a personal or significant professional relationship with the Candidate.
- Evaluators must be established scholars or practitioners of demonstrated expertise in the area of the Candidate’s specialization preferably from peer institutions.

III. SELECTION OF EVALUATORS

- The Candidate will have the opportunity to recommend evaluators who meet the criteria set forth in §II to the Department Chairperson or designee.
- The Department Chairperson or designee in consultation with the Dean, will also recommend evaluators, in addition to those recommended by the faculty member. The Department Chairperson or designee will select at least 5 evaluator(s) of those recommended by the faculty member who meet the criteria set forth in §II and will select, in addition 5 other evaluator(s) so that a minimum of 10 evaluators are identified as potential evaluators.
- The Department Chairperson or designee will contact the potential evaluators to identify those evaluators who agree to provide evaluations.
- Potential external evaluators must be identified no later than the first Monday in April of the calendar year in which the promotion or tenure portfolio will be submitted and confirmed no later than the first Monday of July.
- Following confirmation of the external evaluators, the chair or designee will write each evaluator using the letter template attached to these guidelines.

IV. SUBJECT MATTER OF EXTERNAL REVIEW

- External evaluators are not to evaluate the candidate’s teaching, advising or service to the University. The external evaluation will address the Candidate’s scholarly and/or creative work as it relates to the Candidate’s promotion or tenure. Material provided to external evaluators should include the scholarly and/or creative work appropriate to the Candidate’s discipline such as books, articles, grant proposals, computer programs, visual works or performance reviews.
- The Candidate’s Department Chairperson or designee must provide these materials to all external evaluators no later than July 1. The Candidate’s curriculum vitae will be included with the materials provided external evaluators.

Date

Dr.
Department of
Towson University
8000 York Road
Towson, Maryland 21252

Dear

Thank you for agreeing to serve as an external evaluator of the scholarly/creative work of _____, ("Candidate"), who is being considered for promotion from _____ to _____ (or who is being considered for tenure at Towson University). I am sending under separate cover the publications (and/or other materials) that I am asking you to review.

Pursuant to the University's promotion and tenure policy, your review will remain confidential and will not be made available to the Candidate. Only officially constituted faculty committees and academic administrators authorized to evaluate the Candidate for promotion (or tenure) will have access to your evaluation and this correspondence.

Please provide an objective assessment of the Candidate's accomplishments as a scholar (or reference specific work in other fields as appropriate) and your opinion on whether the Candidate has demonstrated the degree of accomplishment required for promotion to _____ (and/or for tenure) at _____.

In making your evaluation of the candidate's work, please address the following:

1. What, if any, has been your professional and/or personal relationship with the Candidate?
2. What is the significance of the issues addressed by the Candidate's work?
3. What is your assessment of the originality and the quality of the work?
4. Is the methodology used appropriate to the issues addressed and consistent with best practices in the field?
5. Does the work produce useful lines of future inquiry for the Candidate and/or for others in the field?
6. Has the Candidate's work appeared in journals, been exhibited in galleries, published by presses, or in professional or performance venues that are appropriate to the field that are indicators of quality work?
7. Does the body of the Candidate's work reviewed indicate continuing development as a scholar (or creative artist)?

In addition to responding to these specific inquiries, please feel free to comment on other aspects of the Candidate's scholarly work.

Due to the calendar for promotion and tenure decisions, please complete your review of the material and submit your evaluation by _the third Friday in September. Please address all correspondence to me at the address above, marked "Confidential."

Thank you for your assistance in this important matter. It is essential to sustaining the academic quality of Towson University that we call upon outside evaluations to assist us in judging the professional scholarship performance of our faculty. We realize how time-consuming this task is, and we are truly grateful for professional service you will render on our behalf.

Sincerely,

Appendix C: UNIVERSITY FORMS

ANNUAL REPORT (AR)

Reporting On Activities for Academic Year

June 1, 20__ - May 31, 20__

Name _____ Rank _____

Department of _____

Area of Specialization _____

Appointed to TU faculty: at rank _____ in year _____.

Promotion History:

To rank _____ in year _____,

To rank _____ in year _____, and

To rank _____ in year _____.

I. Formal Degrees

A. Highest degree earned, with date and name of granting institution. If received since June 1, 20__, attach proof.

B. If candidate for an advanced degree, indicate work completed since June 1, 20__ and present status. Corroborative material and/or transcript must be attached.

II. Teaching and Advising (percentage of workload: _____ %)

A. 1. Assure that all course evaluations and course syllabi are added to the appropriate Merit portfolio folder.

The course evaluation reports from the Office of Assessment will each include the course title and number, credit hours, number of students enrolled/responding, and response data for each item (median, mean, standard deviation, N).

2. Provide a brief (1-4 paragraphs) descriptive reflection on your teaching practice this past year. Highlight interpretations of data you have found meaningful (e.g., self, peer, and/or student feedback) to inform and guide your future teaching practices. Potential items may include (but are not limited to) levels of satisfaction with course assignments and work manageability, students' take-aways and/or gaps in understanding, grading and providing feedback, DEIJ considerations, digital accessibility, opportunities to fold in new concepts and perspectives into coursework, or other pedagogical innovations.

B. Non-classroom assignments which are part of your regular on-load teaching assignment (i.e., coaching, directorships, supervision of student teachers).

C. New instructional strategies which you have introduced this year (e.g., special projects, new courses and/or materials).

D. Advising (including number of students, whether majors, undeclared, or interdisciplinary students).

Optional: Provide a descriptive reflection on your student advising practice this past year. Highlight interpretations of advising efforts that you have found meaningful (e.g., self, peer, and/or student feedback) to inform and guide your

future advising practices

- III. Research, Scholarship, and Creative Activities (percentage of workload: ____%)
[Attach corroborative material where appropriate]

Correlation Statement. If your productivity did not match your projections for the academic year, please explain.

- IV. Service (percentage of workload: ____%)
[Indicate any of these activities which are part of your workload]

Department:

College:

University:

Community:

Profession:

Correlation Statement. If your productivity did not match your projections for the academic year, please explain.

Updated by Office of the Provost and Approved by Academic Senate 12/2022

ANNUAL WORKLOAD PLAN (AWP)

Agreement On Faculty Expectations For Academic Year

June 1, 20__ - May 31, 20__

- I. Teaching and Advising (percentage of workload: _____%)
- A. List all of the regular classroom teaching assignments planned for the academic year.
- B. Non-classroom assignments which will be part of your regular on-load teaching assignment (i.e., coaching, directorships, supervision of student teachers) for the academic year.
- C. New instructional strategies which you plan to introduce this year (e.g., special projects, new courses and/or materials). Also include interdisciplinary, diversity, international, and new technology projects, if appropriate.
- D. Advising (including number of students, whether majors, undeclared, or interdisciplinary students)
- II. Research, Scholarship, and Creative Activities (percentage of workload: _____%)
- III. Service (percentage of workload: _____%)
[For any of these activities which are part of your workload, please indicate.]

Department:

College:

University:

Community:

Profession:

SIGNATURES:

Faculty Member _____

Date _____

Chairperson of Department _____

Date _____

Dean of College _____

Date _____

Updated by Office of the Provost and Approved by Academic Senate 12/2022

APPENDIX D

TOWSON UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT SUMMARY RECOMMENDATION (DSR)

DEPARTMENT OF _____

RECOMMENDATION FORM FOR YEAR _____

FOR _____

(Faculty Member)

This form is to be completed for all tenure track and clinical faculty by each department upon the conclusion of its PTRM process each fall. When promotion or tenure is being considered, it is forwarded as part of the faculty member's file to the appropriate college promotion and tenure committee for use during its deliberations. Recommendations on merit, reappointment, and five year comprehensive reviews are to be forwarded directly from the department to the dean of the college.

By signing this form faculty members indicate that they have read this form and are aware of the department's recommendation(s); their signatures do not necessarily indicate agreement with the recommendation(s). Faculty who wish to appeal the recommendation(s) should follow procedures found in the Towson University Policy on Appointment, Rank and Tenure of Faculty.

The _____ Department PTRM Committee voted to recommend that you have:

- ☐ Tenure granted
- ☐ Tenure denied

The _____ Department PTRM Committee recommends you for the

following: Promotion to T/TT or Clinical:

- ☐ Associate Professor
- ☐ Professor
- ☐ No promotion

The _____ Department Merit Committee recommends you for the following:

- ☐ No Merit
- ☐ Base Merit
- ☐ Base +Merit

The _____ Department PTRM Committee recommends that you be:

- ☐ Reappointed
- ☐ Not reappointed

The _____ Department PTRM Committee recommends that your performance for the period covered by the Five Year Comprehensive Review be judged:

- ☐ Satisfactory
- ☐ Less than Satisfactory

Committee Chair Signature_____Date_____

Faculty Member Signature_____Date_____

APPENDIX E - Voting Record

Department of _____

Promotion, Tenure & Reappointment Committees and Annual Review and Merit Committees Votes Fall _____
(based on Academic Year _____)

Faculty (Rank)		Tenure/ Reappoint Vote	Promote Vote	5 YR Review	Faculty (Rank)		Tenure/ Reappoint Vote	Promote Vote	5 YR Review
	Yes					Yes			
	No					No			
	Yes					Yes			
	No					No			
	Yes					Yes			
	No					No			
	Yes					Yes			
	No					No			
	Yes					Yes			
	No					No			
	Yes					Yes			
	No					No			
	Yes					Yes			
	No					No			
	Yes					Yes			
	No					No			
	Yes					Yes			
	No					No			
	Yes					Yes			
	No					No			
	Yes					Yes			
	No					No			
	Yes					Yes			
	No					No			
	Yes					Yes			
	No					No			
	Yes					Yes			
	No					No			
	Yes					Yes			
	No					No			
	Yes					Yes			
	No					No			

This voting record is an accurate reflection of the deliberations of the PTR and ARM Committees.

Department PTRM Chairperson/Date

Department Chairperson/Date