Poster Number _____ **Degree (circle one)** B.S. M.S.

First Author Name: _____ **Judge Name:** _____ **Mentor Name:** _____

Attribute	Below Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	Score
Title and Abstract	□ Poorly worded □ Not concise	□ Acceptably worded □ Relatively concise	□ Exceptionally worded □ Very concise	___ / 10pts
Organization	□ Poorly organized	□ Clearly organized	□ Exceptionally organized	___ / 20 pts
Components (including abstract and literature cited)	□ Components of poster missing □ Components poorly presented	□ A few components missing □ Components well presented	□ All components included □ Components exceptionally presented	___ / 20 pts
Quality of Poster Presentation	□ Presentation unacceptable □ Grammatical and spelling errors abundant □ Graphics and fonts unacceptable □ Exhibits no innovation	□ Presentation acceptable □ Grammatical and spelling errors rare □ Graphics and fonts acceptable □ Exhibits moderate innovation	□ Presentation superior □ Grammatical and spelling errors absent □ Graphics and fonts excellent □ Exhibits exceptional innovation	___ / 20 pts
Quality of Research	□ Literature review missing □ Scientific rigor missing	□ Literature review acceptable □ Scientific rigor acceptable	□ Literature review exceptional □ Scientific rigor exceptional	___ / 30 pts

FEEDBACK:

TOTAL SCORE (OUT OF 100) __ / 100 pts

Optional: Quality of Oral Presentation	□ Communication skills poor □ Responses incomplete □ Arguments poorly presented □ Subject knowledge poor □ Critical thinking poor □Ability to draw on knowledge from multiple disciplines poor	□ Communication skills acceptable □ Responses acceptable □ Arguments acceptably presented □ Subject knowledge acceptable □ Critical thinking acceptable □Ability to draw on knowledge from multiple disciplines acceptable	□ Communication skills excellent □ Responses exceptional □ Arguments exceptionally presented □ Subject knowledge exceptional □ Critical thinking exceptional □Ability to draw on knowledge from multiple disciplines exceptional	